

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,034	0/810,034 03/26/2004		Stephen M. Trimberger	X-1006-1D US	8432
24309	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
XILINX,			TAN, VIBOL		
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2100 LOGIC DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOS	E, CA 95	124	2819		
				DATE MAILED: 01/06/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AL

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

ì

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/810,034	TRIMBERGER, STEPHEN M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vibol Tan	2819		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Vibol Tan	2819							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reju	ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
<u> </u>	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: .									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Alberta and the detection Alberta and Citizen and Alberta	- 4' £ A 1 211	4 1 4						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly proposed recitation of the shared programmable interconnect portion located on the programmable logic device raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

VIBOLTAN PRIMARY EXAMINER